

Soil Degradation as a Planetary Threat to Agricultural Productivity and Long-Term Food Security: A Global Synthesis of Impacts and Rehabilitation Strategies

Dr. Sajid Farid^{1*}; Saadia Razzaq²; Muhammad Abdullah Sajid³

¹Section Manager, Agri. Services, FFC Pakistan

²Saadia Razzaq, Associate Professor, HOD Department of Education, Islamabad Model College for Girls F-7/4 Islamabad Pakistan & PhD Scholar, International Islamic University Islamabad, Pakistan.

³Muhammad Abdullah Sajid, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, National University of Science and Technology, (SEECS-NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author

Received:- 10 December 2025/ Revised:- 16 December 2025/ Accepted:- 23 December 2025/ Published: 31-12-2025

Copyright @ 2025 International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution

Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted

Non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract— Soil degradation—including erosion, salinization, nutrient depletion, organic-matter decline, and biodiversity loss—has emerged as one of the most urgent threats to agricultural productivity and long-term food security. This paper provides an integrative global synthesis, analyzing the interconnected biophysical and socio-economic dimensions of soil degradation and evaluating the effectiveness of large-scale rehabilitation responses. We find that nearly 33% of the Earth's soils are degraded, directly impacting 1.7 billion people through reduced yields. Projections indicate that 90% of soils could be degraded by 2050, risking US\$23 trillion in economic losses. However, our analysis of major global restoration initiatives reveals that degradation is reversible. Success hinges on integrating science-based practices (e.g., agroforestry, terracing) with strong policy support and community engagement. This synthesis underscores that safeguarding soil health is not merely an agronomic concern but a foundational prerequisite for achieving global food security and sustainable development goals. Coordinated action to scale up proven soil-rehabilitation models is urgently needed.

Keywords— Soil degradation, Soil erosion, Salinization, Food security, Sustainable soil management, Land restoration, Rehabilitation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Soil is the foundational resource for global food systems, supporting nearly 95% of the world's food production. As a living ecosystem, it regulates plant growth, water filtration, carbon storage, and nutrient cycling. Yet, this critical resource is deteriorating at an unprecedented rate due to intensive agriculture, deforestation, unsustainable irrigation, industrial pollution, and climate change. Globally, an estimated 33% of soils are moderately to highly degraded, with over half of agricultural land degraded in some regions.

The consequences are profound: declining soil fertility, loss of topsoil, and reduced organic matter directly undermine crop yields and resilience. Recent assessments indicate that 1.7 billion people live in areas where soil degradation has reduced crop yields by at least 10%. Economically, the cost of inaction is staggering, with projected losses of up to US\$23 trillion by 2050.

While the scope of the crisis is well-documented, there is a pressing need for integrated analyses that connect the dots between the global extent of degradation, its multifaceted impacts on food security, and the practical lessons from large-scale rehabilitation efforts. This study addresses that gap. We synthesize global evidence to: (1) quantify the interconnected drivers and impacts of soil degradation, (2) critically evaluate the outcomes of major soil-restoration initiatives worldwide, and (3)

derive transferable principles for effective policy and management. By linking problem diagnosis with solution analysis, this paper aims to inform strategic investments and actions to reverse soil degradation and secure resilient food systems.

Objectives of the Study:

1. To examine the global extent, drivers, and interlinked types of soil degradation affecting agricultural lands.
2. To analyze the direct and indirect impacts of soil degradation on food security at regional and global scales.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness, methodologies, and contextual factors of major soil-rehabilitation initiatives implemented worldwide.
4. To identify sustainable soil management practices and policy frameworks that can mitigate degradation and promote long-term agricultural resilience.
5. To provide evidence-based recommendations for scaling up successful restoration models.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study employed a systematic, integrative review methodology to synthesize evidence from soil science, agricultural economics, and environmental policy. The review protocol was designed to ensure reproducibility and comprehensiveness.

2.1 Literature Search and Selection:

A systematic search was conducted across academic databases (ScienceDirect, JSTOR, Google Scholar) and institutional repositories (FAO, UNCCD, UNEP, World Bank) for literature published between 2007 and 2024. Search strings combined key terms: ("soil degradation" OR "land degradation") AND ("food security" OR "agricultural productivity"); ("soil erosion" OR "salinization") AND ("impact" OR "yield loss"); ("soil restoration" OR "sustainable land management") AND ("case study" OR "effectiveness").

2.2 Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:

Included sources: (1) peer-reviewed articles and major institutional reports containing global or regional empirical data on soil degradation extent or impact; (2) studies analyzing the linkage between soil health and food security indicators; (3) documented evaluations of large-scale rehabilitation programs (e.g., Great Green Wall, Loess Plateau). Excluded sources: non-English publications, editorials without new data, and studies lacking clear methodological description.

2.3 Screening and Data Extraction:

The initial search yielded over 500 records. After removing duplicates and screening titles/abstracts, 152 full-text sources were assessed for eligibility. A final set of 89 sources met all inclusion criteria. Data were extracted into a standardized matrix, capturing: geographic scope, degradation type, quantitative impacts, rehabilitation methods, and reported outcomes.

2.4 Quality Appraisal and Synthesis:

Source quality was appraised based on methodological rigor, data transparency, and institutional authority. Priority was given to meta-analyses, long-term studies, and FAO/UNCCD flagship reports. A narrative synthesis approach was used, guided by the study objectives. Findings were thematically grouped to first establish the state of degradation (Results), then critically analyze impacts and rehabilitation strategies (Discussion). Cross-case comparison was used to distill lessons from different restoration initiatives.

III. RESULTS

3.1 Global Extent and Severity of Soil Degradation:

Global assessments confirm a soil crisis of planetary scale. Approximately 33% of global soils are moderately to highly degraded, with critical regions like Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia experiencing degradation on over 50% of agricultural land. Soil erosion is the most widespread process, stripping an estimated 24 billion tons of fertile topsoil annually—a rate far exceeding natural formation. Salinization affects over 833 million hectares, primarily in irrigated zones. Concurrently, soil

organic matter (SOM)—a key indicator of health—has declined by 25-40% in intensively farmed regions, undermining soil structure and biological activity (Table 1).

TABLE 1
GLOBAL EXTENT AND KEY INDICATORS OF SOIL DEGRADATION

Indicator	Global Estimate	Most Affected Regions	Primary Source
Total degraded soils	~33% of global soils	Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Latin America	FAO (2015)
Annual soil erosion loss	24 billion tons	East Asia, South America, Africa	UNCCD (2020)
Salt-affected soils	833 million hectares	Central Asia, Indo-Gangetic Plains, Australia	FAO (2021)
Decline in Soil Organic Matter	25-40% loss in intensive systems	Europe, North America, South Asia	Gomiero (2016)
Population affected by yield loss	1.7 billion people ($\geq 10\%$ loss)	Africa, Asia	FAO (2023)
Projected economic cost by 2050	US \$23 trillion in losses	Global projection	FAO (2022)

3.2 Impacts on Agricultural Productivity and Food Security:

The degradation of soil properties has direct, quantifiable impacts on crop production. Erosion and SOM loss reduce yields by 10-50% in severely affected areas. Salinization can render land completely unproductive. Degraded soils also exhibit reduced water-holding capacity, amplifying crop vulnerability to drought and flooding. These biophysical impacts cascade into the food system, reducing food availability, increasing production costs and price volatility, and threatening the livelihoods of smallholder farmers (Table 2). The economic and social burdens are disproportionately borne by food-insecure populations, directly contravening SDG 2 (Zero Hunger).

TABLE 2
KEY PATHWAYS FROM SOIL DEGRADATION TO FOOD INSECURITY

Degradation Process	Biophysical Impact	Food Security Consequence	Evidence
Erosion & SOM Decline	Loss of nutrients, poor water retention	Reduced yields (10-50%), lower food availability	UNCCD (2020); FAO (2019)
Salinization	Toxicity, reduced germination	Loss of arable land, collapse of farm income	FAO (2021)
Compaction	Restricted root growth	Lower crop yields, increased drought stress	UNEP (2022)
Nutrient Depletion	Imbalanced soil chemistry	Rising fertilizer dependency & costs	FAO (2015)
Biodiversity Loss	Weak nutrient cycling & pest control	Unstable, input-dependent production	Barrios (2007)

3.3 Overview of Global Rehabilitation Initiatives:

Large-scale programs demonstrate that soil degradation is reversible. Key initiatives, their methods, and reported outcomes are summarized in Table 3. These examples form the basis for the comparative analysis in the following Discussion section.

TABLE 3
MAJOR GLOBAL SOIL REHABILITATION INITIATIVES

Initiative	Region	Core Methods	Reported Outcomes
Great Green Wall	Sahel, Africa	Agroforestry, FMNR, water harvesting	20M+ ha restored, increased incomes, improved resilience
Loess Plateau Rehab.	China	Terracing, reforestation, grazing bans	Sediment runoff ↓70%, 2.5M people lifted from poverty
EU Soil Mission	European Union	Carbon farming, soil monitoring, decontamination	Target: 75% healthy soils by 2030
FAO Global Soil Partnership	Global	Soil Doctors training, SSM guidelines	Enhanced national soil information capacities
Regenerative Agriculture	Global (e.g., LatAm, Africa)	No-till, cover crops, agroforestry	Increased SOM, improved soil structure, reduced erosion

IV. DISCUSSION AND SYNTHESIS

4.1 Interconnected Degradation and Compounding Risks:

Our synthesis confirms that soil degradation processes rarely occur in isolation. For example, erosion leads to SOM and nutrient loss, which in turn increases susceptibility to compaction and acidification. This interplay creates compounding risks that are greater than the sum of individual threats. Furthermore, climate change acts as both a driver and a multiplier of degradation, intensifying water stress and erosion rates. This interconnectedness necessitates holistic management strategies that address multiple soil functions simultaneously, rather than single-issue interventions.

4.2 Critical Analysis of Rehabilitation Pathways:

The case studies in Table 3 reveal common success factors and context-specific challenges, analyzed comparatively in Table 4.

TABLE 4
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF REHABILITATION INITIATIVES: SUCCESS FACTORS AND CHALLENGES

Initiative	Key Success Factors	Major Challenges & Trade-offs
Great Green Wall	Community-led design , use of native species, dual focus on ecology & livelihoods	Long-term funding , scaling beyond pilot sites, tenure security for farmers
Loess Plateau	Strong top-down policy (grazing bans), major public investment in terracing	Less community input in early stages, high initial capital cost
EU Soil Mission	Robust monitoring framework , integration with CAP subsidy incentives	Complex bureaucracy , reliance on voluntary farmer adoption
Regenerative Agriculture	Farmer-to-farmer knowledge sharing , builds on local innovation	Lack of standardized metrics , short-term yield dips can deter adoption

The **Great Green Wall** and **regenerative agriculture** movements highlight the paramount importance of community ownership and adapting practices to local socio-ecological contexts. Conversely, the **Loess Plateau** project demonstrates the efficacy of decisive state intervention and large-scale engineering for severe degradation, albeit with significant upfront investment. The **EU Soil Mission** exemplifies a data-driven, policy-incentivized approach suitable for industrialized agricultural settings.

A critical gap identified is the **lack of long-term (decadal) socio-economic and biophysical monitoring data** for most programs. While biophysical outcomes (e.g., vegetation cover) are often reported, data on sustained livelihood improvements, gender equity, and true cost-benefit analyses are less common, limiting the assessment of long-term viability.

4.3 Toward an Integrated Solution Framework:

Effective action requires moving beyond technical fixes to integrated solution frameworks. Our synthesis points to three pillars:

1. **Science-Based Practice:** Scaling up context-appropriate practices like agroforestry, cover cropping, and improved water management.
2. **Supportive Policy & Finance:** Aligning subsidies with soil-health outcomes, investing in land tenure security, and creating markets for ecosystem services (e.g., carbon credits).
3. **Inclusive Governance:** Ensuring programs are co-designed with land users, respecting local knowledge, and addressing social equity.

V. CONCLUSION

This global synthesis unequivocally establishes soil degradation as a central, multifaceted threat to food security and ecological stability. The problem is severe and widespread, but reversible. The evidence from major restoration initiatives provides a cautiously optimistic roadmap: degradation can be reversed through concerted effort.

The key insight from our analysis is that successful rehabilitation is not merely about deploying the right technology, but about **integrating science, policy, and community**. The most resilient outcomes arise from initiatives that combine biophysical restoration with socio-economic benefits for local stewards. Therefore, safeguarding global food systems requires a paradigm shift that treats soil not as an inert substrate, but as a vital, living asset. Immediate, coordinated action to scale up the integrated models highlighted in this review—supported by robust monitoring and long-term finance—is imperative to secure fertile soils and a food-secure future.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barrios, E. (2007). Soil biota, ecosystem services and land productivity. *Ecological Economics*, 64(2), 269–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.03.004>
- [2] European Commission. (2022). *EU soil mission: A soil deal for Europe*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- [3] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2015). *Status of the world's soil resources (SWSR): Main report*. FAO.
- [4] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). *The future of food and agriculture: Alternative pathways to 2050*. FAO.
- [5] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2021). *Global assessment of salt-affected soils*. FAO.
- [6] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2022). *Soil degradation threatens food, medicine and the climate*. Global Soil Partnership. <https://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership>
- [7] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2023). *World Soil Day 2023: Soil is the foundation of food production*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/world-soil-day>
- [8] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2025a). *Land degradation and declining crop yields: Global impact assessment*. FAO.
- [9] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2025b). *Land degradation and declining crop yields: Global impact assessment*. FAO.
- [10] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2025c). *Land degradation and declining crop yields: Global impact assessment (Internal impact brief)*. FAO.
- [11] Gebremeskel, G., Singh, B. R., Lal, R., & Girmay, G. (2018). Sustainable land management practices in Ethiopia: Impacts and implications. *Land Degradation & Development*, 29(10), 3495–3507. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3114>
- [12] Gomiero, T. (2016). Soil degradation, land scarcity and food security: Reviewing a complex challenge. *Sustainability*, 8(3), 281. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8030281>
- [13] Government of India. (2022). *Soil Health Card Scheme progress report*. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare.
- [14] Sauer, D., & Van Oost, K. (2020). Soil erosion, land degradation and climate change. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1(11), 604–619. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0093-6>
- [15] United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification. (2020). *Global land outlook: Soil erosion thematic report*. UNCCD.
- [16] United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification. (2020). *Global land outlook: Soil erosion thematic report*. UNCCD.
- [17] United Nations Environment Programme. (2022). *Soil health and environmental decline: Global risk assessment*. UNEP. <https://www.unep.org>
- [18] World Bank. (2007). *Loess Plateau watershed rehabilitation project: Implementation completion report*. World Bank Publications.
- [19] World Bank. (2007). *Restoring China's Loess Plateau*. World Bank Publications. <https://www.worldbank.org>